

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/726,686	11/29/2000	Roger Cayton	12951US01	1366	
26689	7590 10/11/2002				
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			EXAMINER		
	225 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773	n	
				:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A>-					
	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	03/726,686	R. Caytou et					
Office Action Summary	Examiner C. Kilima	Art Unit					
		1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate appropriate and the second secon	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	mis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) (-48 is/are pending in the application	on						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) / is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	i election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	eived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	cti n Summary	Part of Paper No.					

Application/Control Number: 09/726,686

Art Unit: 1773

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havey '514, '163, Tao '971, Nguyen '656, Brotzman '907, '781 in view of Penth '657, McCulley '830 or '296'

The applied Havey '514, '163 Tao '971, Nguyen '656, Brotzman '967, '781 disclose that It is known in the art to produce a substantially transparent, abrasion resistant films comprised of a cross-linked resin and a plurality of surface-treated particles dispersed in cross-linked resin. (See Abstracts, Summary of the Inventions).

The above applied references do not specifically teach that particles should be noncrystalline particles.

However, Penth '657 and McCulley '830 or '296 disclose that it is known in the art to produce and use nonparticles for the same purpose as in the claimed invention (See Abstract Summary of the Inventions).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use nonparticles of Penth '657 and McCulley '830 or '296 in the compositions of Havey '514, '163 Tao '971, Nguyen '656, Brotzman '967, '781 since it has been held to be

Application/Control Number: 09/726,686

Art Unit: 1773

within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of is suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious deign choice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Leszek Kiliman at telephone number 703 308-3959.

Examiner Kiliman/ng

October 10, 2002

LESZEK KILIMAN, PhD PRIMARY EXAMINED